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1. Aim
To improve the number, quality and documentation of reconciliation that are carried out for patients living with frailty that are acutely admitted to QA hospital.

2. Background
Medication review is an essential component of Comprehensive Geriatric Assessment (CGA), and older people living with frailty are much more vulnerable to minor stressors e.g. medication changes. Data on medication review at QA hospital has previously only been collected through falls prevention audits which have demonstrated poor completion rates of just 29%.

3. Method
- An audit was carried out of the medication reconciliation section of the PHT drug chart (Figure 1) to assess how many times they were completed.
- Pharmacists and doctors were surveyed to establish their confidence at carrying out medication reviews.
- A sticker was designed in partnership with the falls prevention team for use in patient’s notes to prompt about medication review (Figure 2).
- Trial of pharmacist writing discharge summary.
- Audit of sources of information used to collate reconciliation in patients identified as living with frailty.
- Trial of ‘Frailty Intervention Team (FIT) Medicines Reconciliation’ proforma.
- Measure of how many sources used, and additional information obtained using new FIT Medicines Reconciliation.

4. Results
- None of the 30 drug charts audited had the medication review section completed.
- 80% of pharmacists and 60% of doctors surveyed stated they felt confident at carrying out medication review (Figure 3).
- The sticker was discussed with the multi-professional falls prevention team, but required additional editing and implementing that became too complex within the scope of this project.
- Implementation of the FIT medicines reconciliation proforma resulted in the average number of sources used to complete a drug history increasing from 2.1 to 3.9 (Figures 4 & 5). Patients or carers/relatives were spoken to in all cases when using the FIT medicines reconciliation proforma.

5. Discussion
- Medication review is an incredibly complex part of patient care and CGA.
- Initial assumptions based on falls audit data were reinforced by baseline data collected, showing no clear documentation of medication review in any patients acutely admitted. This was despite the majority of staff members asked stating they felt confident to carry out medication review.
- It was very hard to unpick this issue, and various avenues were tried before focusing on the most initial part of the process; medicines reconciliation.
- Involving many other staff members allowed for greater understanding of the issue, but slowed down some aspects, such as the sticker design.
- Not only did the implementation of the FIT medicines reconciliation proforma increase the number of sources used, but crucially, it increased the number of times the patient or their carer/relative was involved in the conversation.
- It also resulted in significantly more information being collected about patients and their medication, for example highlighting those with adherence problems, dexterity problems or swallowing problems.
- Issues with medicines were highlighted earlier on in the patient journey, which allowed interventions such as monitored dosage systems to be discussed sooner with patients.

6. Lessons learned
Using QI methodology helped to break down a very large and complex issue, and PDSA cycles and involvement from key staff members helped to drive the project forward.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of times</th>
<th>Confidence</th>
<th>Reconciliation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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